

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION

HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., and  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,

*Defendants.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§


CASE NO. 2:22-CV-00422-JRG-RSP

**ORDER**

Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (“Defendants” or “Samsung”) previously filed a Motion to Strike Certain Opinions Offered by Dr. Richard D. Wesel (Dkt. No. 165). Magistrate Judge Payne entered a Memorandum Order denying this motion. (Dkt. No. 431.) Defendants have filed Objections to this Memorandum Order (Dkt. No. 443), with Plaintiff having filed a Response to Defendants’ Objections. (Dkt. No. 464.)

After conducting a *de novo* review of the briefing on this motion, the Memorandum Order, and the briefing on Defendants’ Objections, the Court agrees with the reasoning provided within the Memorandum Order and concludes that the Objections fail to show that the Memorandum Order was erroneous. Consequently, the Court **OVERRULES** the Objections, **ADOPTS** the Memorandum Order, and **ORDERS** that Defendants’ Motion to Strike Certain Opinions Offered by Dr. Richard D. Wesel (Dkt. No. 165) is **DENIED**.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 3rd day of January, 2025.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE